



24 October 2024

Senator Jess Walsh  
Chair  
Senate Economics Legislation Committee  
PO Box 6100  
Parliament House  
Canberra ACT 2600

Land of the Ngunnawal people  
23 Marcus Clarke Street  
Canberra ACT 2601  
GPO Box 3131  
Canberra ACT 2601  
Tel 02 6243 1111  
Fax 02 6243 1199  
[www.accc.gov.au](http://www.accc.gov.au)

Dear Senator Walsh

**Re: ACCC submission to the Senate Economics Legislation Committee inquiry into Treasury Laws Amendment (Mergers and Acquisitions Reform) Bill 2024**

The Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) welcomes the opportunity to make this submission to the Senate Economics Legislation Committee inquiry into the Treasury Laws Amendment (Mergers and Acquisitions Reform) Bill 2024.

The Bill includes important features necessary to ensure that, if passed by Parliament, transactions that may adversely affect competition are subject to appropriate scrutiny based on the risks raised. It also provides for a more efficient and transparent process for businesses and for the wider community. Some of the key features are:

- An administrative regime with the ACCC as the first instance decision maker, with merits review by the Tribunal available to merger parties and third parties.
- A requirement for merger parties: (i) to notify the ACCC of mergers that meet particular requirements including thresholds; and (ii) not to complete the transaction without ACCC or Tribunal approval, or unless the ACCC grants a waiver from the full notification and approval requirements.
- Clear, defined and binding decision-making timelines, with timeline extensions in limited circumstances, to provide certainty, predictability and transparency to businesses and third parties, and which enable the ACCC to conduct a proper assessment of notified mergers according to the risk to competition.
- Greater transparency provided through upfront information requirements; the publication of all merger notifications received<sup>1</sup>; the ACCC's reasons for decisions as to whether or not to approve mergers and other key decision-making in the process.

While the ACCC cannot predict the overall number of notified mergers and how many of these will be concluded within each phase, we expect to make a determination for around 80% of mergers in 15 to 20 business days via an early Phase 1 determination or the notification waiver process. This is consistent with the ACCC's commitment to expedite non-contentious mergers and focus its resources and attention on the more complex and contentious mergers.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Bill provides a limited exception for surprise hostile takeovers or certain voluntary transfers under the Financial Sector (Transfer and Restructure) Act 1999, which will not be made public for a temporary period.

The Bill provides for further detail on some aspects of the proposed new merger regime to be reflected in legislative instruments. This detail, including in relation to the notification thresholds, acquisitions that are required to be notified even if they do not result in control, content of the lodgement forms, and the waiver process, is important for the overall workability and effectiveness of the new regime. We will continue to engage with Treasury on the scope and framing of the necessary legislative instruments to ensure the reformed mergers regime is able to deliver the Government's objective of delivering a faster, stronger and simpler merger regime.

The remainder of this short submission provides further context to the detail required in some of the key legislative instruments and which are critical to the workability of the new regime.

## **Thresholds**

The Bill provides that the actual level of the notification thresholds is to be set by a legislative instrument.

As outlined by the OECD, it is virtually impossible to determine ex-ante which mergers raise competition concerns, and therefore the ultimate objective when setting notification thresholds is to strike the right balance between capturing the contentious mergers that raise competition concerns while minimising the number of notified mergers that are not likely to raise competition concerns.<sup>2</sup>

Setting the thresholds at the right level is key to bringing the right transactions into the new regime so that the ACCC can see and prevent acquisitions that pose the most risk to competition, consumers and the economy. Setting thresholds too high, particularly at the commencement of the new regime when there is limited data available to assess the efficacy of thresholds, carries the risk that many mergers likely to be of concern will proceed without scrutiny, and this would fundamentally undermine the rationale for the new regime.

A priority for the ACCC has been to ensure that the monetary thresholds are capable of capturing the types of mergers that have historically raised significant competition concerns. One key area is the acquisitions made by the largest companies, frequently via serial acquisitions of smaller competitors. It is important to the ACCC that the monetary thresholds do have a targeted application to this set of transactions where data shows that the top 1% of companies make the majority of acquisitions.

In conjunction with the introduction of the Bill in Parliament, the Government announced the monetary notification thresholds that will apply in conjunction with the ability for the Minister to determine additional targeted notification requirements.<sup>3</sup> The ACCC supports the combination of the currently proposed monetary thresholds with the Ministerial determination of targeted thresholds, including the Government's announcement of its intention for a targeted threshold to ensure adequate scrutiny of acquisitions by supermarkets.<sup>4</sup>

The ACCC considers that clear and objective monetary thresholds should be the primary mechanism to bring transactions into the regime. Over reliance on identifying specific industries/sectors for additional targeted thresholds creates a lag whereby scrutiny is only

---

<sup>2</sup> OECD, Local Nexus and Jurisdictional Thresholds in Merger Control, July 2016, page 6

<sup>3</sup> Merger reform for a more competitive economy: Government response to consultation, 10 October 2024

<sup>4</sup> Merger reform for a more competitive economy: Government response to consultation, 10 October 2024, page 3

possible after industries/sectors have already become concentrated or are demonstrating the effects from a lack of competition – effects which are not easily undone.

The ACCC notes some commentary that the proposed monetary thresholds are too low and will stifle innovation, for example where start-up businesses rely on exit or growth via merger or acquisition. The ACCC considers that making simple comparisons with thresholds in other jurisdictions does not provide an accurate assessment of the appropriate level and does not take into account:

- On a GDP-adjusted basis the proposed monetary thresholds are comparable to key international jurisdictions. In particular, aspects of the proposed Australian thresholds, such as turnover, are at a similar level or in some cases, significantly higher, than thresholds in other international jurisdictions.
- The need to reflect the Australian economy and its specific characteristics including that we already have many highly concentrated markets; there is slow productivity growth and declining aggregate measures of dynamism;<sup>5</sup> and there are lower levels of market integration in international markets due to geography.

Similarly, small businesses and tech startups looking for a buyer will, in most cases, not raise competition concerns. Those transactions will either not meet the notification thresholds or will be assessed quickly.

Problematic mergers that fall below the thresholds are not able to be “called in” and reviewed by the ACCC in the new regime - these will be considered under the existing merger provisions in the CCA and, where appropriate, enforced via the Federal Court as is currently the case.

The ACCC notes that the notification thresholds will be reviewed 1 year from commencement and the whole regime will be reviewed 3 years post commencement. These provide early opportunities to consider whether the thresholds are set at the appropriate levels and are bringing the right transactions into the merger regime.

## **Control**

The Bill provides an exception from the mandatory notification requirements in the proposed regime for acquisitions of shares that do not result in control of the target, either because immediately after the acquisition, the acquirer does not control the target, or the acquirer already controlled the target immediately before the acquisition.

This ACCC considers that by itself, this exception from the notification requirements is overly broad and introduces a risk that some important types of transactions that have the potential to impact Australia’s competitive landscape will not be notified to the ACCC.

To address this risk, the Bill enables the Minister to determine, by legislative instrument, a class of acquisitions which are required to be notified and will not be able to rely on the control exception.

The ACCC supports the Government’s announced intention to use this process to limit the scope of the exception.<sup>6</sup> The ACCC considers that this is important to ensure it is able to adequately scrutinise acquisitions that meet the monetary notification thresholds and result

---

<sup>5</sup> Treasury, [Merger Reform - consultation paper](#), November 2023, page 4.

<sup>6</sup> Merger reform for a more competitive economy: Government response to consultation, 10 October 2024, page 3

in certain changes in the nature of control or, for unlisted or private entities, result in the acquirer holding more than 20% voting power.

Many of the transactions that the ACCC currently scrutinises most closely involve private companies, such as private equity firms, with complex corporate, trust, partnership and/or contractual structures that create, at least, strong influence over the target's operations, with the potential to affect competition. Private companies are not subject to the same compliance obligations and public transparency regarding their operations as public companies, and these acquisitions should be subject to ACCC consideration if they meet the thresholds, regardless of whether the parties consider that the acquisition confers control. As for all notified transactions, the ACCC will be able to review quickly where no material competition issues arise.

The ACCC will continue to engage with Treasury regarding the details of an appropriate legislative instrument. In order to provide important certainty to business regarding the types of acquisition that will require notification to the ACCC, the ACCC considers that this should be in place in time for the commencement of the new regime, including the transition period.

### **Waiver**

The Bill empowers the ACCC, upon application, to grant a binding 'notification waiver' to remove the obligation for merger parties to notify in appropriate cases. In deciding whether to grant a notification waiver, the ACCC will be required to consider relevant matters including the likelihood that the acquisition would meet notification thresholds and the likelihood that it would substantially lessen competition. For example, a notification waiver may be granted for acquisitions that have minimal competitive overlap and no vertical integration.


The Bill enables the details of the waiver process to be provided in a legislative instrument, and the ACCC will continue to engage closely with Treasury regarding the development of any instruments. The ACCC considers the notification waiver process will be an important feature of the new regime and is keen to ensure it works effectively. Its effective operation will require, for example, that the ACCC is able to grant a waiver within a very short period where sufficient information is provided.

### **Conclusion**

The ACCC will continue to engage with Treasury on the legislative instruments to ensure the reformed mergers regime is effective and workable.

We are committed to the successful implementation of reforms, and respectfully in anticipation of the Bill passing through Parliament we have commenced implementation work to prepare us, business and their advisors for the changes. We have publicly issued a Statement of Goals (attached) to outline our approach to implementing the new regime and to reduce uncertainty during the transition.

Yours sincerely

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of Gina Cass-Gottlieb.

Gina Cass-Gottlieb  
Chair



# Statement of Goals for Merger Reform Implementation

10 October 2024

## **Acknowledgement of country**

The ACCC acknowledges the traditional owners and custodians of Country throughout Australia and recognises their continuing connection to the land, sea and community. We pay our respects to them and their cultures; and to their Elders past, present and future.

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission

Land of the Ngunnawal people

23 Marcus Clarke Street, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, 2601

© Commonwealth of Australia 2024

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence, with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration, diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright, but which may be part of or contained within this publication.

The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website, as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence.

Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the

Director, Content and Digital Services, ACCC, GPO Box 3131, Canberra ACT 2601.

### **Important notice**

The information in this publication is for general guidance only. It does not constitute legal or other professional advice, and should not be relied on as a statement of the law in any jurisdiction. Because it is intended only as a general guide, it may contain generalisations. You should obtain professional advice if you have any specific concern.

The ACCC has made every reasonable effort to provide current and accurate information, but it does not make any guarantees regarding the accuracy, currency or completeness of that information.

Parties who wish to re-publish or otherwise use the information in this publication must check this information for currency and accuracy prior to publication. This should be done prior to each publication edition, as ACCC guidance and relevant transitional legislation frequently change. Any queries parties have should be addressed to the

Director, Content and Digital Services, ACCC, GPO Box 3131, Canberra ACT 2601.

ACCC 10/24

[www.accc.gov.au](http://www.accc.gov.au)

# Contents

Merger reforms and what to expect.....	4
Faster timeframes.....	4
Greater transparency .....	6
Clear notification requirements for parties .....	7
Risk-based approach underpinned by enhanced data and economic analysis.....	8
Economy-wide competition research and ACCC accountability .....	9
New analytical and process guidelines .....	9
Clear path to transition .....	10
Improved internal capacity and streamlined processes .....	10
Conclusion and next steps for implementation .....	11

This Statement of Goals outlines the goals and intended objectives of the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) in delivering the Government's merger reforms, subject to the Bill passing through Parliament.

As outlined in the ACCC's April 2024 [Statement of Intent](#) in response to the Government's Statement of Expectations, a key objective for the ACCC is to prevent anti-competitive mergers.<sup>1</sup> This also includes supporting the delivery of merger reform, adopting a risk-based approach, making use of enhanced data and economic analysis, and providing increased transparency and updated guidance.

The ACCC has in place clear and ongoing accountability mechanisms to ensure it is effectively delivering the merger reforms and this performance will be assessed at the three-year review conducted by Treasury.

This Statement of Goals may be updated over time to keep stakeholders informed of any changes as the ACCC progresses its implementation of the new regime.

---

<sup>1</sup> In this document, the terms "merger" and "acquisition" are used interchangeably regardless of the legal structure of the transaction.



# Merger reforms and what to expect

The ACCC welcomes the Government's introduction into Parliament of the *Treasury Laws Amendment (Mergers and Acquisitions Reform) Bill 2024* to amend the *Competition and Consumer Act 2010*. In this 50th year of Australian competition and consumer protection law, the Bill proposes major amendments to the *Competition and Consumer Act 2010* (Cth) and to significantly reform Australia's merger laws.

The new merger control regime represents a major change for the ACCC, business and the Australian community. Australia will move from a judicial enforcement model to a primarily administrative regime, with the ACCC as the first instance decision maker on each notified acquisition.

These changes are relevant not just for businesses when they are contemplating a merger, but also for businesses that can be affected by a merger such as suppliers, business customers in the supply chain and rivals. The changes will benefit these business stakeholders by providing greater transparency and opportunity to comment on transactions before the ACCC. It will also provide an avenue for the wider community, including consumers and small businesses, to comment on mergers relevant to them.


The ACCC is committed to making these reforms a success and there is significant implementation work underway to prepare us and business and their advisers for these changes.

In anticipation of the Bill passing through Parliament, outlined below are some of the key changes that business should expect to see when the new regime is rolled out from 1 July 2025 on a voluntary basis and then on a mandatory basis from 1 January 2026.

## Faster timeframes

Statutory timeframes impose strict timing requirements on the ACCC and will provide greater certainty and quicker decisions for merger parties, particularly for notified acquisitions that are less likely to raise competition concerns or harm consumers. The key timeframes contained in the legislation are depicted below

### Key timeframes



If a determination is not made by the ACCC within the statutory timeframe, subject to any allowable extensions, the acquisition will be deemed approved and can be put into effect. This will hold the ACCC accountable in meeting statutory deadlines. This is a significant shift from the current flexible timeframes for reviews in the informal regime that can be varied by the ACCC.

The new regime consists of a 30 business day Phase 1 and a 90 business day Phase 2, with scope for extension in limited circumstances. Within Phase 1, a determination can be made as quickly as 15 business days after notification where the ACCC considers no competition concerns arise. While the ACCC cannot predict the overall number of notified mergers and how many of these will be concluded within each phase, we expect to make a determination for around 80% of mergers in 15 to 20 business days via an early Phase 1 determination or the notification waiver process. This is consistent with the ACCC's commitment to expedite non-contentious mergers and focus its resources and attention on the more complex and contentious mergers.

The ACCC supports merger parties also having the option of applying for a binding 'notification waiver' to remove the obligation to notify in appropriate cases. In granting a notification waiver the ACCC will consider relevant matters including the likelihood that the acquisition would meet notification thresholds and the likelihood that it would substantially lessen competition. For example, a notification waiver may be granted for acquisitions that have minimal competitive overlap or no vertical integration. In order to be effective, the ACCC proposes that it have the ability to grant a notification waiver within a very short period where there is sufficient information provided.

As noted, the regime allows for extensions to the Phase 1, Phase 2 and public benefits timelines in limited circumstances. These ensure that, in addition to the discipline imposed on the ACCC by the prescribed timelines, where parties do not provide complete information or provide misleading information or where there is a material change of circumstances or remedies proposed, that the timelines can be extended to ensure that the ACCC has additional time to assess the acquisition. It is expected that with full and frank disclosure by merger parties and an open dialogue with the ACCC, the need for such extensions can be limited. Separately, the applicants may themselves request that the timeline be extended and agree this with the ACCC.

## Greater transparency

The new regime will provide for greater transparency for all mergers considered by it.

All notified acquisitions will be published on an ACCC public register with the exception of a small range of acquisitions which will not be made public for a temporary period (such as surprise hostile takeovers unless withdrawn or certain voluntary transfers under the *Financial Sector (Transfer and Restructure) Act 1999*). This is a significant shift from the current regime where pre-assessments, which currently account for around 93% of all reviews, are assessed on a confidential basis and are not placed on a public register. This means there will be increased transparency of the acquisitions under review and the stage of review, allowing opportunities for all stakeholders to comment on mergers before they are considered by the ACCC.

The reasons for final determinations on all notified matters will be published under the new regime. This is a significant shift from the current enforcement-based regime where

decisions on public reviews may be limited to a short public register summary and media release.

While we anticipate the reasons being short and simple for straightforward fast-track matters, it will be clear why the ACCC made its decision. For more complex matters decided in Phase 1 or Phase 2, the reasons will reflect the level of complexity of the review. The Notice of Competition Concerns (excluding any confidential information) provided to merger parties by the 25th business day in Phase 2 will also provide further transparency during the ACCC's review, and merger parties will have the opportunity to respond and make their case for clearance. The Notice of Competition Concerns will also be made public on the ACCC's public register for the benefit of third parties and more broadly to inform the business and wider community.

The ACCC will also provide an explanation of its decision to refer a matter from Phase 1 to Phase 2, including the nature of the theory(s) of harm and the matters it intends to investigate during Phase 2.

Collectively, the ACCC's published decisions will over time create a useful database and point of reference for stakeholders and advisors, the broader business and wider community.

## Clear notification requirements for parties

The effectiveness of the regime with its strict review timelines, relies on the ACCC having access to the appropriate information and data needed to conduct the assessment. The ACCC will outline what information is required when lodging a notification and will balance the importance of informed decision making with regulatory burden. The ACCC will consult with relevant stakeholders on the notification forms in early 2025.

We will encourage pre-lodgement engagement with merger parties to facilitate, where needed, discussions regarding their notification, timing considerations and information requirements, including exploring what data the parties maintain during their regular course of business. This will enable us to identify upfront whether and why any additional information should be provided at the outset to assist the notification being considered expeditiously. The approach to pre-lodgement discussions will be tailored taking into account the complexity of each matter and our prior level of knowledge of the market/s under consideration.

Pre-lodgement discussions may also assist merger parties to manage uncertainty regarding notification thresholds, including around whether past serial acquisitions need to be aggregated for notification purposes. Pre-lodgement discussions will also assist to ensure regulatory burden is minimised and only information relevant to the ACCC's review is required.

The ACCC will also consult on the online lodgement process, to ensure it is easy to understand and accessible for users.

## Risk-based approach underpinned by enhanced data and economic analysis

The ACCC is committed to a risk-based approach, with resources prioritised to acquisitions most likely to harm the community. The ACCC intends to enhance its use of economic and data analysis to identify risks to the community, including any risks of long-term harm to consumers which the ACCC will take into account in reaching a final determination on each merger. The final determination will be to either: approve as notified; approve on the basis of commitments (undertakings) given by the parties; approve on the basis of conditions imposed by the ACCC or Tribunal; or not approve.

Utilising a risk-based approach underpinned by economic analysis will assist the ACCC in the following ways:

- determining the level of review required according to the risk and whether matters could be approved via an early Phase 1 decision, approved in Phase 1 or proceed to Phase 2 for a further in-depth review
- conducting the competition analysis at each stage of the process
- conducting reviews of past ACCC decisions
- in our new surveillance capabilities that will monitor for non-compliance with notification requirements and to help identify sectors where future merger activity might pose heightened competition risks.

It will also assist with efficient resource prioritisation and allocation. Currently, the ACCC expends less than 15% of its mergers resourcing assessing over 90% of mergers, with the remaining resourcing focussed on reviewing those with high risks to competition and substantive harm to consumers. We will adopt a similar approach to prioritisation in the new regime.

The ACCC is committed to enhanced economic analysis supported by data at each stage of a merger review. The ACCC currently utilises data and economic analysis within merger reviews, and we will look to further enhance this under the new regime.

For example, where appropriate and subject to available data, commonly used economic tools such as diversion ratios to test the degree of competition between relevant products and services and Gross Upward Pricing Pressure Index (GUPPI) analysis to test post-merger incentives to raise prices will be more frequently utilised within merger assessments and published in determinations. Where appropriate, we will also seek to use further data sources for market share analysis within our assessments and determinations.

The ACCC also intends for certain public decisions, particularly for contentious matters, to be supported by more in-depth and extensive economic and data analysis. This will contribute to consistent economic analysis over time in ACCC decision making and will help guide merger parties. However, the use of enhanced data and economic analysis will significantly rely upon the provision of adequate data and information from parties, preferably provided upfront in their notification, or through use of compulsory information gathering tools as required.

The ACCC as an expert decision maker along with the Australian Competition Tribunal (comprising of a Federal Court judge and two members with relevant expertise, such as business or economic expertise) will also be well placed to consider economic frameworks for merger reviews.

## Economy-wide competition research and ACCC accountability

The ACCC proposes to establish a new internal workstream focussed on economy-wide competition analysis and reviews of past ACCC decisions in the new regime. This work will draw upon data from various sources including merger reviews, Business Longitudinal Analysis Data Environment (BLADE), other government data, public data and other commercial data sources, to assess and report on issues such as:

- the effectiveness of the new merger regime
- the extent to which the notification thresholds are adequately capturing mergers of potential competitive concern
- whether there are industries that the ACCC should apply particular attention to
- any notable changes in competitive dynamics in certain industries, including from technological change.

The output from this work will also contribute to annual reporting on the ACCC mergers work and may contribute to or otherwise be involved in the publication of research papers. It will also result in broader engagement with other regulators and academics working with similar data to consider economy-wide or broader competition issues beyond individual transactions. The ACCC is committed to publishing merger-related data where it is public and appropriate, including the ACCC's merger analysis statistics.

The ACCC will also undertake analysis and report on past ACCC decisions or categories of decisions. This may include testing whether the assumptions or predictions made during reviews were appropriate, whether issues were missed or whether remedies were effective. We note that the depth of such work would be limited in the absence of compulsory information gathering powers being made available to the ACCC, which would provide a richer source of data to inform its analysis and future decision making.

## New analytical and process guidelines

The ACCC will publish new analytical and process guidelines for business, the community and interested stakeholders for the new regime. We will consult publicly on the draft analytical and process guidelines in early 2025.

The new analytical guidelines will better reflect the current approach to competition assessments and evolving markets and will be more accessible for businesses, assisting them to better assess the competition risks of a proposed acquisition.

New process guidelines will provide clear guidance to businesses and their advisers on when and how to engage with the ACCC in the new regime, including notification waivers and pre-lodgement discussions. The ACCC is committed to ensuring stakeholders are well informed on the new process and regime requirements.

## Clear path to transition

This is a significant change for the ACCC, business and the broader community. The ACCC is committed to working with all stakeholders during the upcoming transition period to ensure that there is clear communication regarding the options available to manage this period and facilitate effective implementation and a smooth transition.

To assist with managing the transition, the ACCC has agreed to bring forward implementation so that merger parties will have the ability to notify the ACCC on a voluntary basis from 1 July 2025 before mandatory notification commences on 1 January 2026.

Merger parties can continue to apply for merger authorisation until 30 June 2025, and may continue using the informal regime until 31 December 2025. Where informal clearance has been granted between 1 July 2025 and 31 December 2025 and the acquisition is put into effect within 12 months of receiving clearance, merger parties will not be required to notify under the new regime.

While the transitional arrangements will assist merger parties to manage this period, there may be some mergers with informal reviews ongoing as of 1 January 2026 that require notification under the new regime. The ACCC will actively engage with merger parties about how best to transition their merger review into the new regime efficiently. The ACCC is committed to minimising disruption and duplication for parties with ongoing reviews by taking into account information already provided to the ACCC and the time elapsed in the informal review process.

The ACCC will publish clear guidelines on the transition period to ensure stakeholders are well informed and are aware of where to direct inquiries.

## Improved internal capacity and streamlined processes

The ACCC will be funded to ensure we can effectively administer the new regime and deliver on our goals and the Government's expectations.

While the ACCC will leverage existing capacity and expertise across the agency, a significant increase in the tools and capacity will be needed to make the new system operate effectively. This funding will ensure the ACCC has the necessary resources to administer the new regime effectively and is able to allocate resources efficiently. It will ensure low-risk matters are dealt with quickly and the ACCC will be equipped to provide greater transparency and make evidenced-based decisions backed by economic and data analysis.

Further resources will also be committed to monitoring and surveillance for non-compliance with notification requirements, ensuring there is adequate compliance with the new regime and to minimise anti-competitive acquisitions proceeding.

The ACCC will also renew and expand its Performance Consultative Committee to advise on the ACCC's merger review functions as well as the broad range of the ACCC's responsibilities.

Our Performance Consultative Committee will consist of a range of stakeholders including consumer, business, and legal representatives. The Committee will provide feedback on ACCC initiatives and a forum for exchange of perspectives on key issues.

# Conclusion and next steps for implementation

The objectives set by the Government for the reforms have been to achieve a faster, stronger, simpler, more targeted and a more transparent merger regime.

The ACCC is committed to ensuring that the new merger control regime delivers on these objectives over time and provides benefits to business and the wider community. For business, it will provide a more efficient and predictable merger process for having their transactions assessed and a clear avenue to have ACCC decisions reviewed. It will be underpinned by enhanced data and economic analysis, which will also contribute to broader economy-wide competition research beyond the ACCC.

For the wider community, it will provide confidence that transactions that may adversely affect competition are subject to adequate scrutiny based on the risks raised – this contrasts to the current situation where only a small proportion of the estimated 1000-1500 mergers that occur each year in Australia are notified to the ACCC.

A priority for the ACCC with the commencement of the new regime will be its surveillance and monitoring functions to ensure that the mandatory notification obligations are complied with. Without active monitoring and enforcement of the new regime, the benefits may not be fully achieved.

A key element of the new regime will be increased transparency. This will allow for greater awareness and avenues for participation for key stakeholders on particular mergers but also for the wider business community and the broader community who are impacted by these merger decisions due to the flow on effects to competition. Over time, the increased level of transparency will provide a rich source of data to assess the effectiveness of the new merger control regime.



## Key dates

Some of the key implementation milestones ahead for the Bill include:

<b>October 2024</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Legislation is introduced into Parliament</li> </ul>
<b>Q1 2025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Public consultation on draft process guidelines</li> <li>Public consultation on draft analytical guidelines</li> <li>Public consultation on notification forms</li> </ul>
<b>Q2 2025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Guidance published on the transitional arrangements, including engagement with merger parties and stakeholders on what to expect in the lead up to mandatory notification commencing</li> </ul>
<b>1 July 2025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Voluntary notification available under the new regime</li> </ul>
<b>During 2025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Merger authorisations applications will be accepted up until 30 June 2025</li> <li>Merger authorisations lodged before 30 June 2025 will continue to be considered until the ACCC or Tribunal makes a determination on the application</li> </ul>
<b>During 2025 and 2026</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For informal merger reviews that are ongoing as at 31 December 2025, the ACCC will continue engaging with merger parties and third parties as the review transitions over to the new regime from 1 January 2026</li> <li>For mergers that the ACCC does not object to in the informal system between 1 July 2025 and 31 December 2025 and which are completed within 12 months of the ACCC's decision - these will not require notification under the formal regime</li> </ul>
<b>1 January 2026</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mandatory notification for the formal regime commences</li> </ul>
<b>1 January 2027</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A review of the notification thresholds will be conducted</li> </ul>
<b>1 January 2029</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A review of the formal regime will be conducted three years after commencement, informed by evidence around the impact of mergers on the economy and the performance of the ACCC</li> </ul>