

EXCLUDED FROM
PUBLIC REGISTER

From: Maureen Gaynor [mailto:
Sent: Monday, 16 June 2008 12:11 PM
To: Adjudication
Subject: eBay International AG N93365

Dear Sir / Madam,

Please accept this as a follow up to my original submission (dated 27/4/08) to you regarding the above notification. I have not seen any new evidence from eBay to convince me that the purpose of their proposed Conduct is to increase security. Below is a copy of a letter that I sent to the Sydney Morning Herald today in response to eBay's media statement which claims "**ACCC draft notice undermines online consumer protection. eBay will continue to fight for safety benefits for consumers.**" For eBay to try and claim the moral high ground on this issue against all conflicting evidence is absolutely incredible.

*eBay have issued a media statement which declares "**ACCC draft notice undermines online consumer protection. eBay will continue to fight for safety benefits for consumers.**" (eBay website: 13/6/08) eBay have been trying to force customers to use PayPal (a subsidiary of eBay) as the only payment method under the guise of "increased security" and the ACC has issued a draft notice to block them (ACCC thwarts eBay PayPal plan; SMH: 12/6/08).*

So, who are we to believe? The multi-national corporation or a statutory authority such as the ACCC which was created to protect consumers? Its not rocket surgery. The only 2 bodies who will benefit from eBay's proposal are eBay and PayPal, not consumers. eBay stands to gain an extra 1.1% to 2.4% on every single transaction processed by PayPal.

This proposal has nothing to do with protecting consumers. Its purpose is to eliminate competition and increase profits. This has got to be the biggest piece of propaganda since the "coalition of the willing" and weapons of mass destruction.

Yours sincerely,

Maureen Chuck
<Address excluded>

EXCLUDED FROM
PUBLIC REGISTER