

**Roy, Lauren**

---

**From:** Blanch, Belinda on behalf of Adjudication  
**Sent:** Friday, 18 April 2008 3:13 PM  
**To:** Chisholm, Shane; Roy, Lauren  
**Subject:** FW: eBay International AG - Notification - N93365 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Categories:** SEC=UNCLASSIFIED  
**ACCC Classification:** SEC=UNCLASSIFIED

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 18 April 2008 1:38 PM  
**To:** Adjudication  
**Subject:** eBay International AG - Notification - N93365

**EXCLUDED FROM  
PUBLIC REGISTER**

Hi,  
I just wanted to say this would be a horrible thing to allow.  
It would be like allowing a child to guard the cookie jar!!!!  
Ebay's proposal should be out right rejected. In fact they had they have gone so far as to require it for IN PERSON transactions, where the seller has NO protection, since there is obviously no online proof of delivery.

Furthermore I don't believe they should be allowed to REQUIRE PayPal to be included as an option for ANY given transaction, much less be the ONLY option available.

At a worst they should only allowed to be able to require that it is an OPTION, however I think even that is way to generous for this very greedy company that is making very large profits already!!!

Preferably the ruling should be that they can NOT make it the only option, but furthermore they should NOT be able to require PayPal as one of the payment methods sellers must accept.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

**EXCLUDED FROM  
PUBLIC REGISTER**