

Roy, Lauren

From: Blanch, Belinda on behalf of Adjudication
Sent: Monday, 21 April 2008 10:18 AM
To: Chisholm, Shane; Roy, Lauren
Subject: FW: eBay. forced monopoly [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Categories: SEC=UNCLASSIFIED
ACCC Classification: SEC=UNCLASSIFIED

From: J.D Machinesl [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, 20 April 2008 7:42 PM
To: Adjudication
Subject: eBay. forced monopoly

**EXCLUDED FROM
PUBLIC REGISTER**

Hi,

Thank-you for investigating this situation.

I won't reiterate the same information that you've probably already heard.

Except, for three points below.

Point 1.

I operate a small part time business. (JD Machines Pty Ltd). We trade mainly using eBay (99+%) and my customer pay using Bank deposit.

If the proposal is successful, **JD Machines be placed in a non-competitive position compared other companies who trade outside of eBay.**

Point 2

Paypal is a service. Paypal has a Company warranty implied in it. eBay is using this implied warranty as the reason for forcing buyers and sellers to use Paypal.

However, this implied warranty comes with a surcharge. Unfortunately, if this proposal is adopted, all buyers and sellers will be forced to pay. **Making it a forced monopoly.**

Point 2.

I feel that the eBay reasons (security of payment) are unfounded. Reviewing any of the seller/buyer feedback contained in eBay will quickly demonstrate that there are no security issues about paying by direct deposit or other means. And even if there is, **eBay are not the police or other statutory organizations who would be responsible for legally resolving or adjudicating a situation.** They don't have a right to determine civil issues and/or act as the sheriff.

Kind regards

Jon Danks
Director
JD Machines Pty Ltd.

23/04/2008