

Bourke, Monica

To: Jav Enterprises Pty Ltd

Subject: RE: NASR exclusive dealing notifications - interested party consultation [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

From: Jav Enterprises Pty Ltd [mailto:javenterprises@bigpond.com]

Sent: Wednesday, 2 April 2008 12:45 AM

To: Bourke, Monica

Subject: RE: NASR exclusive dealing notifications - interested party consultation [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Monica,

Sorry that I have not had the time to compile a proper reply into this NASR third line forcing thing. All I know is that there must be a case to answer in regards to being a conflict of interest in the way things are run in the sport of speedway in this country, How can they tell your own department only a few months ago that was not there intention to restrict any competitors choices then turn around and apply for an exemption.

NASR was originally set up to work in the competitors best interest but this has slowly ended up not being the case, I think profits from the insurance side of things has taken over to a point that they can outspend any one that questions how things are run ! One example that comes to mind is how does the money from the non profit part of the NASR INC get to the NASR PTY LTD to pay there shareholders. They claim that there is 10,000 members and if you average at \$100 per member there's a quick million? (a AA NASR licence is \$175)

I also asked the question thru the Brisbane/ACCC why do I need two licences to drive one race car! And as you can see both NASR and the SCCA said that I only need a SCCA licence and that the insurance was my choice as long as I had some.

One thing that I have noticed that majority of the reply's that the ACCC has received in this latest matter is that they are either board members or that of clubs/venues that possess NASR shares, If there was a proper notice put in the major speedway magazines in regards to this matter I am sure that there would be a lot different in the types of reply's that have been received as hardly any of the competitors even know that they have applied for such a thing!

I am certain that there is no benefit to the competitors with this Exclusive dealing notice and it is just another underhanded tactic that they are using to there advantage to raise more untaxable funds for the two NASR'S

Sorry that this quick email in not properly set out but as usual I haven't had enough time to compile a complete report for you to use in the competitors best interest

Regards Anthony Vanderreyden / JAV Enterprises