

**Atkin, Hew**

---

**From:** Helena Spyrou [hspyrou@tcfvic.org.au]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 16 November 2005 4:58 PM  
**To:** Atkin, Hew  
**Cc:**

**Subject:** Response to Homeworkers Code of Practice Committee Inc Application for Authorisation - Draft Determination

Dear Hugh

On behalf of the Homeworkers Code of Practice Committee, I am responding to the Homeworkers Code of Practice Committee Inc. Application for Authorisation – Draft Determination.

I would like to suggest that paragraph 2.11 of the Draft Determination be amended as follows:

1. Second dot point to now read:  
"the employer is specifically named as a Respondent to the Award in the parties bound clause"
2. Fourth dot point to be replaced with:  
"an employer who is a successor, assignee or transmittee (whether immediate or not) to or of the business of an employer who was named respondent, including a corporation that has acquired or taken over the business or part of the business of the employer.
3. Fifth dot point to now read:  
(only in the ACT, NT and Victoria) where ... . In the ACT, NT and Victoria 'Common Rule awards ...

Should any additional information be required, please contact me (details below).

The Code Committee looks forward to receiving the final determination on this matter in due course.

Yours sincerely

Helena Spyrou  
Project Officer Homeworkers Code of Practice  
359 Exhibition St Melbourne 3000  
Tel: 03 9639 2955  
Fax: 03 9639 2944  
Mob: 0419 339 259