



MASTER BUILDERS
AUSTRALIA

30 September 2004

FILE No:
DOC:
MARS/PRISM.

The General Manager
Adjudication Branch
Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
PO Box 1199
DICKSON ACT 2602

Dear Sir

Your Ref: C2002/1076

Thank you for your letter dated 8 September 2004, received 9 September.

The position is as follows. In September 1984, the Royal Australian Institute of Architects (RAIA) received authorization No. A58 in respect of the documents set out in paragraph 1.2 of the authorisation attached to your letter. Subsequently, the RAIA sought to vary the authorisation because of amendments made to the principal documents covered by the submission, including its code of professional conduct (Code) and its Constitution by way of its Memorandum and Articles of Association. The Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) denied its application to vary the authorisation to take into account the changes to the relevant documents which, we believe, have in any event been made by RAIA.

Accordingly, it appears that the authorisation no longer affects the documents as they exist in practice and the authorisation is largely irrelevant. We, therefore, express no view about the course of action that the ACCC should take, save to note that, in the circumstances, revocation does not appear onerous.

Yours faithfully,

Richard Calver
National Director
Industrial Relations & Legal Counsel

