Introduction
What is your name?
Name: Gustav Kruger
What is your email address?
Email:
Are you responding to this survey as:
Parent or guardian
What is your organisation?
Organisation:
Do you consent to the ACCC publishing your submission?
Yes
Open written submissions
Confidentiality and personal information
Choose File: No file uploaded
Choose File: No file uploaded
If you wish to provide responses to all or any of the draft findings and recommendations outlined in the September interim report, please move to the next section 'Guided submissions' to provide responses against the relevant findings and or recommendations.
Draft Findings - Costs
1. Labour is the main driver of cost for supplying childcare, accounting for 69% at centre based day care and 77% at outside school hours care Labour costs have increased significantly for large centre based day care providers over the last 5 years.
Do Not Agree
Please provide further comment if relevant:
Agree that labour has been a driver but also believe that bigger centres are inefficient compared to smaller centres. We were at the grove childcare centre which is significantly bigger if compared to Jenny's centre. We felt that Jenny's were able to run more efficiently compared to the commercial model the grove provided. There were many staff at the grove where we asked if they are actually necessary.
2. Land and related costs are the other significant driver of cost for centre based daycare providers.
Do Not Agree
Please provide further comment if relevant:

The centres are already paid for in most cases where the building is owned. Yes, any new centres built have a significant cost attained to it but you have

3. Not-for-profit providers appear to face lower land costs than for profit providers, but these savings are invested into labour.

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Unsure / No View

recurring income and government backed income.

Draft Findings - Price regulation mechanisms

13. The design of the Child Care Subsidy and existing price regulation mechanism has had a limited effect in placing downward pressure on prices and limiting the burden on taxpayers.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

As soon as the subsidy was introduced it was eroded by childcare centres hiking up prices

14. Childcare providers are optimising session lengths to match current activity test entitlements to minimise out-of-pocket expenses for parents and guardians and maintain their revenues and profits.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

15. The Child Care Subsidy is complex for parents and guardians to understand and it is difficult to estimate out-of-pocket expenses.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

There are a lot of terms that is unfamiliar to me. Day activity etc. Term definition is also complicated. Provide example. Sammy works 3 days a week at 7.5 hours a day.....

16. More information is important for parents and guardians, yet the comparator website StartingBlocks.gov.au is not widely used by parents and guardians and can contain outdated information.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

It's useful but childcare centres is so hard to get into and you are held to ransom

Draft Findings - International childcare costs and price regulation mechanisms

17. Overseas data indicates childcare in Australia is relatively less affordable for households than in most other OECD countries.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

18. Many OECD countries are moving toward greater regulation of childcare fees such as low fees or free hours for parents and guardians, supported with supply-side subsidies to cover providers' costs of provision.

Agree

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft Recommendations - Existing regulatory arrangements

Draft recommendation 1 - The ACCC recommends that the Australian Government reconsider and restate the key objectives and priorities of its childcare policies and supporting measures, including the relevant price regulation mechanism.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 2 - The ACCC recommends further consideration and consultation on changes to the Child Care Subsidy and existing hourly rate cap mechanism, to simplify their operation and address unintended consequences, including on incentives and outcomes.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 2 (a) - Consideration be given to determining an appropriate base for the rate cap and indexing the cap to more closelyreflect the input costs relevant to delivery of childcare services. This could includeconsideration of labour costs as well as the additional costs associated with providingchildcare services in remote areas and to children with disability and/or complexneeds

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 2 (b) - Consideration be given to changing the hourly rate cap to align with the relevant pricing practice for the servicetype. This could include consideration of a daily fee cap for centre based day care. Consideration will need to be given to setting and monitoring minimum requirements to avoid creating incentives for childcare providers to reduce flexibility or quality.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 2 (c) - Consideration be given to removing, relaxing or substantially reconfiguring the current activity test, as it may beacting as a barrier to more vulnerable children (for example, households with lowincomes or disadvantaged areas) accessing care and creating a barrier to workforceentry or return for some groups. An alternative would be to consider a specificentitlement, such as a certain number of days of care.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 2 (d) - Consideration be given to including a stronger price and outcomes monitoring role by government, supported by a credible threat of intervention, to place downward pressure on fees.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 3 - The ACCC supports reconsideration of the information gathered for and reported on StartingBlocks.gov.au so that it is better focused on meeting parents and guardians' information needs, and balanced against the costs of collecting and publishing information. This could include:

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 4 - The ACCC recommends that the governments further consider how the existing regulatory frameworks support and influence the attraction and retention of educators and workforce in the early childhood education and care sector.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft Recommendations - Broader policy considerations for more significant change

Draft recommendation 5 - The Australian Government should consider maintaining and expanding supply-sidesupport options for Aboriginal Community Controlled Organisations that provide childcareand additional support services for First Nations children, parents and guardians.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 6 - A market stewardship role should be considered for both Australian and state and territory governments, in identifying under-served areas and vulnerable cohorts, along with intervention whether through public or private provision. A competitive tender process is one tool that could be used by governments to facilitate delivery in these areas.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant:

Draft recommendation 7 - The ACCC supports further consideration of supply-side subsidies and direct price controls. Some changes to the policy settings are likely to reduce the impact of the hourly rate cap as an indirect price control, and may warrant a shift to direct price controls supported by operating grants for regulated childcare providers.

Support

Please provide further comment if relevant: