From: Peter WARD [mailto:

Sent: Wednesday, 31 August 2011 8:50 AM

To: ssu-migration

Subject: The split up of Telstra

SUBMISSION
Peter Dennis Ward

Introduction

Good Morning all;

Some of the words you read you may find offensive but "if the cap fits wear it" though its is not as offensive as the behaviour and actions as outlined and which continue to day. There rules of law and administrative law which you people could use on behalf of the nation yet you all fail to do so.

Oh! nearly an oversight on my part there is also the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution, which all have to abide by its rules (Acts/ sections), they are not options they are obligatory and the document is to be read as a whole.

These are the instruction by which we are governed and by which all enforceable laws are written. It is not be over written or over ridden by any other law or actor person execp by the expressesed will of the people. It is the Precedent at law.without exception.

It is the rules you as a department must follow not the wims of some minister. I sugest you read it and its history so as to obtain a working and workable knowledge of the due process.

The general argument.

Those statements set out below; taken from your web page are grounds for a charge or charges of gross fraud to be laid by all involved in the telecommunication debacle which now exists in Australia. The call in the first instance for so called competition for telecomm was nonsense The phone service national and international where whole owned by the Australian people supposedly managed by the government of the day and overseen (note overseen not oversight which is regularly used today which means to miss which most of you do) by parliament all that was required was for parliament to instruct the general managers of the communication departments PMG and the oversees division to pick up their act and manage more efficiently. The percentage of customers per square kilometres in Australia is a major difficulty in supplying uniform quality services to all. Private companies will only supply to cities or areas of high density populations and large towns maybe; certainly not the vast majority of the Australian land mass. That's why it was and still sensible for there to be only one carrier in this country. The dishonesty of the initial break up came when the body which was awarded the competition was OPTUS a wholly owned Singapore government company.

The very reason which was pushed here was that government's should not and could not run telecommunications. Tell that to the Yanks their biggest telecom is run by their secret service. The fraud doesn't stop there you the encouraged us all to by shares in what we already owned. Allowed the price to peak to \$10.00 for an hour or two so your mates could make a killing on the stock market the fluctuations in the share price of Telstra is evidence of market manipulation for a business which has steady income stable prices and an increasing customer base should have a steady

increase not a fluctuating decline. The share prices have been on the decline ever since.

You then import or allow to be, the CEO	Sol Treheo,
	This blokes work
history was appalling.	
Now you want to split up the company even	en more reducing the share values.
The Governments is or wants to build a cable netw	ork which the Telco's were
supposed to do, you are having us on. Telstra is rur	nning fibre optic cable through our
district Merriwa /Upper Hunter or was for the past	few years so what's the go
here. The very political party which instigated the s	sale of Telstra has proposed to set a
telecommunications network and no one has said v	vhat's going here the mumbling
from the opposition benches and the odd smart ass	from media comments cant be
seriously considered objections or criticisms. The I	Rum Corps has reached its heights,
RULE BIRTINYA.	

This reflects long held concerns that Telstra, as the vertically integrated provider of access to the ubiquitous copper network, has the incentive and ability to favour its retail

businesses over its wholesale customers, and thereby impede competition, to the detriment of consumers.

In particular, the ACCC must be satisfied that competition and consumer interests are supported by the specific reforms that have been proposed. This particularly means during th• A structural separation undertaking that includes:

- r commitments by Telstra to cease the supply of specified services over networks under its control from the designated day which is expected to be the day on which the construction of the new wholesale-only national broadband network will be concluded; and
- Γ equivalence and transparency measures regarding access to Telstra's key wholesale services in the period leading up to the designated day.
- A migration plan under which Telstra will cease supplying copper and most HFC services including wholesale services (where they are supplied) as part of the migration to the national broadband network.
- The commercial agreements between Telstra and NBN Coe progressive transition to the new industry structure.